**Rubric for Evaluation of Research Diary:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Points** | **Developing****(74-60%)** | **Competent****(87-75%)** | **Accomplished****(100-88%)** |
| **(Diaries)Quantity of completed research diary entries is four**  | 15 | Less than 2 complete entries (each entry must include date, list of resources, search terms used, search strategies used, number of results, list of relevant materials, adjustments made, and a reflection on the resources used) | 3 complete entries (each entry must include date, list of resources, search terms used, search strategies used, number of results, list of relevant materials, adjustments made, and a reflection on the resources used) | 4 complete entries (each entry must include date, list of resources, search terms used, search strategies used, number of results, list of relevant materials, adjustments made, and a reflection on the resources used) |
| **(Diaries)****Selects appropriate and varied databases, search tools, and finding aids** | 10 | Uses limited library research databases/search tools/finding aids across all diary entries | Uses somewhat varied library research databases/search tools/finding aids across all diary entries | Uses a variety of library research databases/search tools/finding aids across all diary entries  |
| **(Diaries)****Identifies appropriate keywords and search terms for info need** | 10 | Does not identify more than 1 keyword, synonym, related term, or subject tag/descriptor appropriate for research topic | Identifies a limited number of keywords, synonyms, related terms, or subject tags/descriptors appropriate for research topic | Identifies a variety of keywords, synonyms, related terms, or subject tags/descriptors appropriate for research topic |
| **(Diaries)****Collects relevant information** | 10 | Lists 3 or less materials that are relevant to research topic | Lists 4-6 materials that are relevant to topic | Lists a variety of materials that are relevant to research topic |
| **(Narrative)****Revises, reviews, or adapts search strategy or topic according to results found and/or resources available** | 10 | No adjustments are made to search strategies or research topic based on prior search results. Does not discuss responses to failure and shows no evidence of critical thinking to evaluate and improve search results | Adjustments are made to search strategies or research topic, but these are not completely explained in relationship to prior research results. Limited discussion of responses to failure or limited evidence of critical thinking to evaluate and improve search results | Adjustments are made to search strategies or research topic based on prior search results. Discusses responses to failure and shows evidence of critical thinking to evaluate and improve search results |
| **(Narrative)****Investigates the scope, organization, and content of information retrieval systems** | 10 | Does not reflect on more than 1 of the following in the context of the library research databases/ search tools/finding aids: selection process; ease or difficulty of use; differences and similarities to other resources used | Reflects on a limited number of the following in the context of the library research databases/ search tools/finding aids: selection process; ease or difficulty of use; differences and similarities to other resources used | Reflects on a variety of the following in the context of the library research databases/ search tools/finding aids: selection process; ease or difficulty of use; differences and similarities to other resources used |
| **(Narrative)****Length is 2 pages** | 15 | Narrative is less than 1 page | Narrative is between 1-2 pages | Narrative is 2 pages or more |
| **(Narrative)****Narrative is free of grammatical, spelling, and mechanical errors** | 20 | Narrative has major grammatical, spelling, or mechanical errors | Narrative has some minor grammatical, spelling, or mechanical errors | Narrative has no grammatical, spelling, or mechanical error |